

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/921,844 08/03/2001		08/03/2001	Gary K. Michelson	101.0084-01000 8295	
22882	7590	04/23/2004		EXAM	IINER
MARTIN &		RO, LLP STREET, NE	SNOW, BRUCE EDWARD		
HARTVILLE, OH 44632				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

3738

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/921,844	MICHELSON, GARY K.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bruce E Snow	3738
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFF	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension
fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF		
2. $\square$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a)   they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);	
<ul> <li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d)  they present additional claims without canceling</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
<ul><li>4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li></ul>		parate, timely filed amendment
5. ∑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ∑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		o issues which were newly
<ol> <li>For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we</li> </ol>		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
10. Other:	, , , , , , ,	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: One of ordinary skill in the art would not interpret what applicant's applicant's specification describes as the "rearward facet 326" as the left facet (according to Exhibit A) or the "forward facet 324" as the right facet. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection and the Final is proper.

BRUCE SNOW PRIMARY EXAMINER